

Self-employment versus dependent slave service in large concerns

Today's situation in the Western industrial nations is the following: international conglomerates together with union leaders govern or rule over the populations in practice. A dependent employee in a large concern is nothing but an eight-hour slave (as long as the working hours are eight every day).

In their turn, the conglomerates can obtain a determining influence on the politics of a country with their money and other possibilities such as collaboration with government authorities and secret services. They can influence the legislator or submit their list of wishes for laws agreeable to themselves. (In this way, for example, the large music companies today dictate "copyright law".)

Through close cooperation with the secret services concerns can also spy on and ruin competitors, particularly smaller companies which want to keep their independence and let the profit or important business background information pass to themselves. This fatal wrong development must be reversed without delay.

It would be relatively simple to promote small enterprises, for example through appropriate tax regulations: small entrepreneurs and family businesses, i.e. all enterprises without dependent employees, are completely exempted from income, trade, sales and similar taxes, therefore need not file any tax returns, while, on the other hand, large concerns are taxed highly, in fact the more (dependent) employees they have the more highly they are taxed. Dependent employees are all those who are present at work in the company (except family members).

Naturally large concerns should no longer receive any state subsidies, not even in an emergency. When a small entrepreneur goes bankrupt, the government has up to now not concerned itself at all but when, on the other hand, a large concern gets into trouble it receives billions or even trillions in subsidies, particularly in the case of certain favoured banks. There must be an end to this once and for all.

The aim of this is explicitly not the immediate total break-up of large concerns *but a gradual transition to more independence and self-determination in working life* – a mix of many self-employed people and family businesses with possibly some larger or medium-sized enterprises.

A person who does business intelligently, prudently and also responsibly and in this way and for this reason expands their enterprise, should naturally not be penalized for this but only the

unionized, corrupt and absolutely inconsiderate and unscrupulous large concerns should be curtailed in their political sphere of influence or better – as in the case of the tobacco and genetic technology industries –closed down completely at once.

To do this, I would develop a concept tailored to your country in my consultation. The tax conditions mentioned are only one possible way but not in all circumstances the optimal one and certainly not the only possible one. This requires an exact knowledge of the initial economic situation, circumspection and a sense of proportion so as not to put an unnecessary burden on unsound businesses or economic systems, but only to hit or restrict the corrupt giants.